IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 2163 of 1998

Between:

1. P.Dasamatha Rao S/o.P.Rangarao, 31 years, Jr.Executive, R/o.Hyderbad.
2. R.Kanakaiah S/o.R.Pochaiah, 33 years, Jr. Executive, R/o.Hyderabad.
3. A.Sudhakar S/o.K.N.Apsingekar, 32 years, Jr.Executive, R/o.Hydeerabad.
4. A.Madhusudan Rao S/o.late A.Kanakaiah, 53 years, Dy.Manager, R/o.Adilabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. A.P.Bewerages Corporation Ltd., Hyderabad rep. By its Managing Director.
2. The Government of Andhra Pradesh rep.by its Secretary, Industries & Commerce Department at Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. Hyderabad Allwyn Ltd.Sanathnagar, Hyderabad rep.by its Managing Director.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, order or direction, declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not absorbing the petitioners 1 to 3 as Assistant Managers and the petitioner NO.4 as Deputy Manger as directed by the Government in G.O.Rt.No.383 and 384 Industries & Commerce Department 31-3-1993 as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and to consequently direct the Respondents 1 & 2 to absorb the petitioners 1 to 3 as Assistant Mangers and the petitioner NO.4 as Deputy Manager in the 1st Respondent Corporation with all

consequential benefits from the date of joining in the 1st respondent Corporation; award costs.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.K.RAGHUVEER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR.G.MANOHAR, Advocate

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: The Advocate General.

Counsel for the Respondent NO.3: None appeared.

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition as he got instructions from the petitioners to do so.

Permission is accorded.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, giving liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh petition, if necessary. No costs.

_____

19th July, 2005.

mrb

To

1. The Managing Director, A.P.Bewerages Corporation Ltd., Hyderabad.
2. The Secretary, the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Industries & Commerce Department at Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The Managing Director, Hyderabad Allwyn Ltd.Sanathnagar, Hyderabad.
4. Two CCs to the Advocate General, High Court Buildings, Hyderbad(OUT)
5. **Two CD copies**